UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,508	04/20/2007	Yuko Miyake	4600-0125PUS1	9938
	7590 08/24/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747		PADEN, CAROLYN A		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1781	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/24/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
		10/587,508	MIYAKE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		CAROLYN PADEN	1781				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)  ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju	ılv 2011					
,		action is non-final.					
	An election was made by the applicant in response		equirement set forth during th	ne interview on			
-,	; the restriction requirement and election have been incorporated into this action.						
4)							
,	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•				
Disposit	tion of Claims						
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 6-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
_	5a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	S) Claim(s) <u>4,6-16,19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3,17and 18</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
9)[	9) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
11) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application					
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1781

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3, 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Silkeberg (6,033,706) in view of Hui and Swern and further in view of Beroza and Hemalatha.

Silkeberg discloses refining edible oil to retain antioxidative potency.

Sesame oil is one of the oils contemplated in the refining (abstract).

Starting at column 4, the raw material is screen to select seeds for extraction. Next the seeds are extracted by solvent extraction with C1 to C4 alcohols, as suggested in claim 7. Although milling is not mentioned, it would have been obvious to mill the seeds to improve the extraction efficiency. After degumming and neutralization with alkali (NaOH at column 5, lines 40-48), the seeds are bleached by a dedicated bleaching process that acts to precursor antioxidants in the oil. At column 6, lines 13-31, bleaching is accomplished by including amorphous silica gel and citric acid and the oil is heated to 50-90C. Next the oil is deodorized to produce oil with a reduced level of sesaminol oil in it (column 7 Table).

Application/Control Number: 10/587,508

Page 3

Art Unit: 1781

The claims also appear to differ from Silkeberg in the recitation of the sesamin content of the sesame oil. Beroza and Hemalatha teach that the sesamin content of sesame oil varies a lot from one oil source to another. Three oil samples in Table 1 include 1% by weight sesamin in Beroza. Hemalatha shows even higher amounts of sesamin in sesame seed samples from Assam (Table 1). It is appreciated that a specific sesame oil with a certain taste, sesamin and sesaminol content is not mentioned but one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to select a sesame oil for refining, as shown be Beroza and Hemalatha, that meets the particular requirements to obtain the desired final refined sesame oil. Silkeberg may have used a different process than that set forth in the claims the claims are directed to a product and not to the way it was made. No unobvious or unexpected difference is seen between the sesame oil of Silkeberg in view of Beroza and Hemalatha.

Applicants' arguments are directed to the process for making the sesame oil and not to the sesame oil itself.

Claims 4, 6-16, 19 and 20 are allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art further shows the state of the art relating to bleaching edible oils.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,508

Art Unit: 1781

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, D. Lawrence Tarazano can be reached by dialing 571-272-1515. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Carolyn Paden/

Primary Examiner 1781

Application/Control Number: 10/587,508 Art Unit: 1781

Page 6